

TEST 3, WRITING TASK 2

This is an answer written by a candidate who achieved a **Band 6.5** score.

Since last two three decades fashion, glamor, attires have become the most integral part of people's lives as well as for society. In this regard, it is suggested that it is a good idea to purchase a few branded outfits in lieu of, many low-quality dress. In my opinion I support the given phenomenon. My inclination is justified further with suitable examples.

There are several arguments to support my opinion to the mention statement. The most compelling reason that could be put forwarded is because it is long lasting and of better quality. To be more precise, the quality of materials used to make such dresses are much more appreciating. For example, the thread that is used is more of cotton and not nylon. Apart from this the fabric used is much of high standard level. Moreover, the weaving is done under designer guidance. All this aspects makes such attires long lasting and of good quality. Therefore, people should have such type of clothes.

In addition to above argument, another point to support is it protects environment; since such clothes are good in quality, and can be used for several years. This safeguards the environment from throw-away culture. To illustrate, many environmentalists, says that every year tons of garbage is of unusual, low-quality clothes which harms the environment awfully. Need of less storage space and low maintainance one of the other benefits of owing such types of textiles.

Having said that, other side should not be overlooked. It is sometimes functional to owe many use and throw quality of dress. This is because this imparts the sense of satisfaction amongst people; moreover owners believe that they want to walk along with cutting-edge fashion, irrespective of the thought that this trend will change soon.

Thus, to conclude considering the above discussion I can confidentially say that; although having inexpensive clothes might brings the feeling of content among people, it is more rational to have limited but designer clothes. As this is more presentable and last for long time.

Here is the examiner's comment:

This is a strong response to the question and the candidate's position is clear from the beginning. The response argues that high-quality clothing is longer lasting and that this is good for the environment. There is also consideration of the [other side] of the question, that people get a feeling of satisfaction from new (cheaper) clothes and like to be in [fashion]. The conclusion is clear and relevant, although [designer clothes] is not a synonym for 'expensive clothes'.

There is clear progression supported by cohesive devices [*To be more precise* | *Apart from this* | *Having said that*], although they are overused and there are a few errors [*All this aspects* / All these aspects]. Each paragraph presents a clear central topic.

Vocabulary is used with some flexibility and precision [*compelling reason* | *safeguards the environment* | *throw-away culture* | *environmentalists* | *cutting-edge fashion* | *irrespective of* | *trend*], but there are occasional errors in word choice [*more appreciating* / longer lasting]. There are a variety of complex sentence structures including multi-clause sentences. To achieve a higher grammatical score, the level of error would have to be lower.