

## TEST 3, WRITING TASK 2

This is an answer written by a candidate who achieved a **Band 5.5** score.

Sugary food and drink always lead to different health problems for people in different ages. Government are looking at variety of methods to encourage citizens to consume less sugar. One solution of it is sugary goods should be made more expensive. Which I agree that is right.

I believe that everyone of us like sugary food and drink such as sweets, cakes and coke, people often say having sweet food and drink will make them happy when they are depressed. Furthermore sugary food also help to keep blood sugar in a health level, but there are always people over consume sugar that causing problems.

The disadvantage of consuming too much sugar will lead to different kind of problem on body such as heart attack, high blood pressure that is causing a lot of people dead every year but the most significant damage will to the national health system when more people are getting more health problem it will cost the health system more money to help them.

People eat sweet food or drinks to make them happy which I think there is wide range of ways can deal with depression. For myself I will choose to go for a walk, which is health and cost less. People should try to do some outdoor activities which help them relax and improve physical condition of there body it is like double benefit for them.

From all the different point I wrote, I agree that sugary produce should be made more expensive, when it more expensive people less likely buy it and government can increase the price by adding tax on it, the tax can go back to the national health service to help others.

Here is the examiner's comment:

The response does not directly refer to the main point that sugary products should be made more expensive. It is mentioned in the introduction and the conclusion but not in the three central paragraphs. After the introduction (the first paragraph), the second paragraph talks about the effect of eating sugar, the third paragraph talks about the health challenges caused by eating sugar and the cost to health systems, and the fourth paragraph explores ways people can be happier without eating sugar. This does not provide a clear development in relation to agreeing or disagreeing with the main point of the question.

As a result, there is a lack of overall progression even though cohesive devices [*Furthermore* | *but*] are used effectively. There are also two examples of one-sentence paragraphs, which limit the score.

There is an adequate range of vocabulary with some good examples [*significant damage* | *double benefit*] and a mix of simple and complex grammatical structures.